



*Agnel Charities'*

**Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi, Navi Mumbai.**

---

# **SAMPLE TEMPLATES OF FEEDBACK FORMS**



## Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi

### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department :	Academic year :
Program Name :	Year / Semester :
Name of the Faculty :	Designation :

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.

1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
5. Rate the distribution of credits to the course.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
6. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned for the courses.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the subject.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of Professional core area .  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor

Any other suggestion(s) :

---

---

Date:

Signature of Teacher



*Agnel Charities'*

**Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi, Navi Mumbai.**

---

**SAMPLE FORMS OF FEEDBACK  
COLLECTED**



# Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi

## FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department : <u>IT</u>	Academic year : <u>2018-19</u>
Program Name : <u>B.E. IT</u>	Year / Semester :
Name of the Faculty : <u>Poonam P. Bari</u>	Designation : <u>Asst. Prof.</u>

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.

1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
5. Rate the distribution of credits to the course.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
6. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned for the courses.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the subject.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of Professional core area .  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor

Any other suggestion(s) :

One Course should be offered by the Institute depends upon the choice of student i.e flexibility should be added in the syllabus apart from fixed elective.

Date:

  
Signature of Teacher



# Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi

## FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department : <u>EXTC</u>	Academic year : <u>2018-19</u>
Program Name : <u>B.E ExTC</u>	Year / Semester :
Name of the Faculty : <u>Ms. Anita Jadhav</u>	Designation : <u>Asst. Prof.</u>

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.

1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
5. Rate the distribution of credits to the course.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
6. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned for the courses.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the subject.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of Professional core area .  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor

Any other suggestion(s) :

Include coding languages and skills  
such as SQL, UNIX scripting, HTML/CSS

Date:

Anita Jadhav  
Signature of Teacher



# Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi

## FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department : Computer Engg.	Academic year : 2018-19
Program Name :	Year / Semester :
Name of the Faculty : Ms. Smita Dange	Designation : Asst. Professor

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.

1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
5. Rate the distribution of credits to the course.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
6. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned for the courses.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the subject.  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor
10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of Professional core area .  
 Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  Poor

Any other suggestion(s) :

More project based learning will help the students to gain more knowledge.

Date:

Smita  
Signature of Teacher



*Agnel Charities'*

**Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi, Navi Mumbai.**

---

# **SUMMARY OF FEEDBACK ANALYSIS**



**FR.C. RODRIGUES INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, VASHI**

**Summary of Faculty Feedback on Revised-2016 Curriculum**

Total number of faculty given feedback :- 66

Sr.No	Details	Rating Percentage										Average Percentage
		No of faculty rated '5'	% of s faculty	No of students rated '4'	% of faculty	No of faculty rated '3'	% of faculty	No of faculty rated '2'	% of faculty	No of faculty rated '1'	% of faculty	
1	Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.	9	14%	38	58%	14	21%	2	3%	3	5%	75%
2	Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.	11	17%	34	52%	15	23%	5	8%	1	2%	75%
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus for the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.	5	8%	30	45%	19	29%	10	15%	2	3%	68%
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course.	6	9%	33	50%	22	33%	3	5%	2	3%	72%
5	Rate the distribution of credits to the course.	12	18%	34	52%	18	27%	1	2%	1	2%	77%
6	Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned for the courses.	16	24%	32	48%	16	24%	0	0%	2	3%	78%
7	Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.	4	6%	39	59%	18	27%	4	6%	1	2%	72%
8	Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.	3	5%	26	39%	29	44%	5	8%	3	5%	66%
9	Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the subject.	7	11%	37	56%	15	23%	4	6%	3	5%	72%
10	Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of Professional core area .	7	11%	37	56%	15	23%	5	8%	2	3%	73%